

Contents

<i>Overview of the seven volumes</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>About the Authors</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Also by Anatoly T. Fomenko</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>From the Publishers</i>	<i>xviii</i>
<i>Foreword by A. T. Fomenko</i>	<i>3</i>

Part 1: THE DATING OF THE ALMAGEST

by A. T. Fomenko, V. V. Kalashnikov, G. V. Nosovski

Introduction

1. A brief description of the Almagest	7
2. A brief history of the Almagest	12
3. The principal star catalogues of the Middle Ages	13
4. The reason why the dating of the old star catalogues is an important issue	14
5. Hipparchus	15
6. Ptolemy	15
7. Copernicus	16
8. Tycho Brahe	23
9. Important research of the Almagest by the astronomer Robert Newton and his book entitled “The Crime of Claudius Ptolemy”	28

Chapter 1

Some necessary information related to astronomy and history of astronomy

1. The ecliptic. The equator. Precession	32
2. Equatorial and ecliptic coordinates	34
3. The Methods of measuring equatorial and ecliptic coordinates	35
4. The modern celestial sphere	37
5. “Reverse calculation” of objects’ positions on the celestial sphere. The formulae of Newcomb-Kinoshita	39
5.1. Necessary formulae	39
5.2. The algorithm for calculating past positions of stars	40
6. Astrometry. Ancient astronomical measurement instruments of the XV-XVII century	42
7. Timekeeping and timekeeping devices in mediaeval astronomical observations	49

Chapter 2	A preliminary analysis of the Almagest star catalogue	
	1. The catalogue structure	54
	2. The analysis of the distribution of reliably and poorly identifiable stars in the Almagest	56
	3. Seven regions of the Almagest star atlas significantly differ from each other by the number of reliably identifiable stars	68
	4. Possible distortion of the star coordinates resulting from the atmospheric refraction	74
	5. The analysis of the informata distribution across the Almagest catalogue	75
	6. The analysis of the coordinate versions as specified in different manuscripts of the Almagest catalogue. Comparison of the 26 primary manuscripts to the canonical version of the catalogue	77
	7. Version density as the density of independent star observations. Seven areas of the Almagest star atlas revisited with a new concurrence with the previous results	80
	8. In re the reliability of latitudinal and longitudinal measurements contained in the Almagest	84
	8.1. According to Robert Newton, the longitudes in the Almagest were re-calculated by somebody; however, this suspicion does not arise insofar as their latitudes are concerned	84
	8.2. Examples proving that the dating of the star catalogue by longitudinal precession often leads to great errors. Mediaeval catalogues are subject to becoming erroneously dated to an antediluvian epoch	86
	9. The dubious nature of the traditional opinion that Ptolemy's text implies actual "observations" on his part, as well as his "personal participation" in the stellar measurements and observations described in the Almagest	89
	10. What ecliptic point did Ptolemy use for longitudinal reference?	91
	11. Peters' sinusoid in Almagest latitudes	94
Chapter 3	Unsuccessful attempts of dating the Almagest. Reasons for failure. Our new approach and a brief account of our results	
	1. The attempt to date the Almagest by a comparison to the calculated catalogues reflecting the motion of the fastest stars	95
	1.1. The comparison of the Almagest catalogue to the calculated catalogues	95
	1.2. The attempt of dating the Almagest catalogue by proper movements of individual stars	96
	1.3. Why the dating of the Almagest by individual star movements gives us no reliable result	99
	2. An attempt of dating the Almagest catalogue by the aggregate of fast and named stars as compared to the calculated catalogues	101
	2.1. The criteria one is to adhere to in one's choice of the stars for the purpose of dating	101
	2.2. The "proximity interval" system as applied to certain fast or named stars	102
	2.3. Dating the Almagest with the suggested method utilizing arc distances of individual stars is an impossibility	104
	2.4. Dating the Almagest catalogue with the suggested method based on latitudinal discrepancies of individual stars also proves impossible	104

3. The attempt to date the Almagest catalogue by the motion of individual stars as compared to the objects in their immediate vicinity	106
3.1. The varying geometry of stellar configurations as seen against the background of “immobile stars”	106
3.2. The stars chosen for the experiment	106
3.3. The behaviour of the individual discrepancies and the average discrepancy	106
3.4. Negative experiment result	107
4. The analysis of several erroneous works on the subject of dating the Almagest by proper star motions	107
4.1. A lot of the errors are not produced by astronomical phenomena and stem from the incorrect application of the methods offered by mathematical statistics	107
4.2. The data in Y. N. Yefremov’s works on the dating of the Almagest were tailored to fit the desired result	109
4.3. A vicious circle in the dating of the Almagest by the movement of the star σ^2 Eri	109
4.4. Y. N. Yefremov’s errors in the precision estimation of dating the Almagest by Arcturus	110
4.5. Erroneous precision estimation of astronomical calculations: another example	113
4.6. The “secondary analysis” of the Almagest dating in the “Samoobrazovaniye” (“Autodidactics”) magazine	114
5. Conclusions and directions for further research. Our approach and a brief synopsis of our main results	115
5.1. The three problems one is confronted with: identifying the Almagest stars, defining the nature of possible errors, and analysing the precision of the catalogue	115
5.2. The identification of the Almagest stars	116
5.3. Various types of errors in the catalogue	116
5.4. The discovery of the systematic error in the Almagest catalogue. Its compensation confirms the correctness of the declared catalogue precision ...	118
5.5. The compensation of the systematic error discovered in the catalogue gives us an opportunity of dating the latter	120
5.6. The dating of the Almagest catalogue by the motion of its eight primary basis stars after the rectification of the statistically discovered catalogue error	120
5.7. The dating of the Almagest catalogue by the motions of its eight named basis stars by an independent geometrical method	121

Chapter 4 Who is who?

1. Preliminary observations	123
2. Formal search of the fastest stars in the Almagest catalogue	124
2.1. The star identification method	124
2.2. The result of identifying the “modern” stars as their counterparts from the Almagest catalogue	127
2.3. Corollaries	129
3. The search of all the fast stars reliably identifiable in the Almagest catalogue	130

Chapter 5	The analysis of the star catalogues' systematic errors	
0.	Basic conception	133
0.1.	A demonstrative analogy	133
0.2.	The implementation of the method	134
0.3.	The value of the systematic error cannot be used for the dating of the catalogue	135
1.	Main definition	136
2.	The parameterisation of group errors and systematic errors	136
3.	Calculating parameters $\gamma(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$ with the method of minimal squares	139
4.	variation of the parameters $\gamma_{stat}(t)$ and $\varphi_{stat}(t)$ over the course of time	141
5.	The statistical properties of the estimates of γ_{stat} and φ_{stat}	144
6.	Corollaries	148
Chapter 6	Statistical and precision-related properties of the Almagest catalogue	
1.	Introductory remarks	149
2.	Seven regions of the celestial sphere	149
2.1.	A characteristic of the seven areas that we have discovered in the Almagest atlas	149
2.2.	The disposition of the ecliptic poles for each of the seven regions of the Almagest star atlas	150
2.3.	The calculation of confidence intervals	152
3.	Our analysis of individual Almagest constellations	156
3.1.	The compiler of the Almagest may have made a different error in case of every minor constellation group	156
3.2.	The calculation of systematic errors for individual groups of constellations in the Almagest	156
3.3.	Group errors for individual constellations from the well measured celestial region of the Almagest are virtually identical to the systematic error discovered as a characteristic of this area in general	159
3.4.	How the compensation of the systematic error that we have discovered affects the precision characteristics of the environs of named stars	160
3.5.	The discovery of a single systematic error made by the compiler of the Almagest for the region of <i>ZodA</i> and the majority of named stars ..	161
4.	Corollaries	162
Chapter 7	The dating of the Almagest star catalogue. Statistical and geometrical methods	
1.	The catalogue's informative kernel consists of the well-measured named stars	164
2.	Preliminary considerations in re the dating of the Almagest catalogue by the variations in the coordinates of named stars	165
3.	The statistical dating procedure	171
3.1.	The description of the dating procedure	171
3.2.	The dependency of the minimax discrepancy Δ on t , γ and φ for the Almagest	172
3.3.	Results of dating the Almagest catalogue statistically	172
3.4.	The discussion of the result	174
4.	Dating the Almagest catalogue by the expanded informative kernel	176
5.	Dating the Almagest catalogue by a variety of 8-star configurations consisting of bright stars	182
6.	The statistical procedure of dating the Almagest catalogue: stability analysis	183

6.1. The necessity of using variable algorithm values	183
6.2. Trust level variation	183
6.3. Reducing the contingent of the Almagest catalogue informative kernel	184
6.4. The exclusion of Arcturus does not affect the dating of the Almagest catalogue substantially	185
7. The geometrical dating of the Almagest	186
8. The stability of the geometrical dating method applied to the Almagest catalogue. The influence of various astronomical instrument errors on the dating result	188
8.1. Poorly-manufactured astronomical instruments may have impaired the measurement precision	188
8.2. Formulating the problem mathematically	189
8.3. The deformation of a sphere into an ellipsoid	190
8.4. Measurement discrepancies in the “ellipsoidal coordinate system”	191
8.5. Estimating the distortion of angles measured by the “marginally ellipsoidal instrument”	191
8.6. Possible distortion estimation and the stability of the resultant dating	192
8.7. Numerical value table for possible “ellipsoidal distortions”	194
8.8. Conclusions	194
9. Longitudinal behaviour of the named Almagest stars	194
10. The behaviour of arc discrepancies in the configuration comprised of the Almagest informative kernel	196
11. Conclusions	198

Chapter 8 Tilt angle between the ecliptic and the equator in the Almagest

1. Ptolemy’s concept of the ecliptic tilt angle value and systematic error γ	199
2. The Peters Zodiac and the sine curve of Peters	201

Chapter 9 The application of our method to the dating of other mediaeval catalogues

1. Introduction	209
2. Tycho Brahe’s catalogue	209
2.1. A general characteristic of Tycho Brahe’s catalogue and the result of our dating	209
2.2. The analysis of Tycho Brahe’s latitudinal errors and the removal of the “rejects”	210
2.3. The choice of the informative kernel for Tycho Brahe’s catalogue	213
2.4. The dating of Tycho Brahe’s observations	213
2.5. Conclusions	214
3. Ulugbek’s catalogue	217
3.1. A general characteristic of Ulugbek’s catalogue and its dating result	217
3.2. Systematic errors in Ulugbek’s catalogue	218
3.3. The choice of the informative kernel and the Δ threshold. The dating of Ulugbek’s catalogue	218
3.4. Conclusions	219
4. The catalogue of Hevelius	220
4.1. The dependency between the catalogues of Tycho Brahe and Hevelius	220
4.2. Conclusions	221
5. The catalogue of Al-Sufi	221

Chapter 10 Additional considerations concerning the dating of the *Almagest*. Stellar coverings and lunar eclipses

.....by A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy

1. Introduction	224
2. Dating the planetary coverings of the stars. Calculations that involve average elements	225
3. The dating of the planetary star coverings described in the <i>Almagest</i> . A more precise calculation	230
3.1. The adjusted algorithm	230
3.2. The discussion of the mediaeval X-XI century solution	231
3.2.1. The η of Virgo covered by Venus in 960 A.D.	233
3.2.2. Mars covering the β of Scorpio in 959 A.D.	235
3.2.3. Jupiter covering the δ of Cancer in 994 A.D.	236
3.2.4. Saturn approaching the γ of Virgo in 1009 A.D.	237
3.2.5. The chronology of the <i>Almagest</i> according to the X-XI century solution	238
3.3. Discussing the late mediaeval solution of the XV-XVI century	243
3.3.1. The η of Virgo covered by Venus in 1496 A.D.	243
3.3.2. Mars covering the β of Scorpio in 1497 A.D.	244
3.3.3. Jupiter covering the δ of Cancer in 1528 A.D.	245
3.3.4. Saturn approaching the γ of Virgo in 1539 A.D.	245
3.3.5. Commentary to the late mediaeval solution	245
4. The era of Nabonassar in accordance with the late mediaeval solution	246
5. The dating of the <i>Almagest</i> 's creation and how this book assumed its present form. Ptolemy and Copernicus	248
6. The "ancient" Hipparchus as the apparent phantom reflection of Tycho Brahe, the famous astronomer	251
7. Ptolemy's <i>Almagest</i> is most likely to have undergone its final edition already after the death of Tycho Brahe, or the "ancient" Hipparchus	254
8. According to Robert Newton, most of the lunar eclipses referred to in the <i>Almagest</i> happen to be relatively recent forgeries	257

Chapter 11 Other problems and hypotheses arising from the dating of the *Almagest* catalogue

by A. T. Fomenko, G. V. Nosovskiy

1. Certain auxiliary oddities of the <i>Almagest</i>	259
1.1. What coordinates was the <i>Almagest</i> catalogue compiled in initially?	259
1.2. The North Star as the first star of the <i>Almagest</i> catalogue	260
1.3. Oddities inherent in the Latin (allegedly 1537) and Greek (allegedly 1538) editions of the <i>Almagest</i>	262
1.4. The star charts of the <i>Almagest</i>	266
2. The <i>Almagest</i> and Halley's discovery of proper star motions	273
3. The identity of the "ancient" Emperor Pius, in whose reign many of Ptolemy's astronomical observations were performed. His geographical and chronological localisation	275
4. Scaligerian datings of the manuscripts and the printed editions of the <i>Almagest</i>	276
4.1. Greek manuscripts of the <i>Almagest</i>	278
4.2. Latin manuscripts of the <i>Almagest</i>	279
4.3. Arabic manuscripts of the <i>Almagest</i>	279

4.4. The first printed editions of the <i>Almagest</i>	280
4.5. Questions concerning the Scaligerian datings of the <i>Almagest</i> manuscripts	281
5. So what is the <i>Almagest</i> , anyway?	283
6. Oddities in the development of the astronomical science as portrayed in the “Scaligerian textbook”	283
6.1. The efflorescence of the so-called “ancient astronomy”	283
6.2. The beginning of the mysterious “decline of the ancient astronomy” in Scaligerian history	287
6.3. The alleged millenarian “return to infancy” and the primitive character of mediaeval astronomy	287
6.4. The astronomical boom of the Renaissance: original, not repetition	289
6.4.1. The astronomical “renaissance” of the Arabs	289
6.4.2. The astronomical “renaissance” in Europe	291
6.4.3. The boom of European astronomy in the XV-XVI century	293
6.5. Bottom-line chronological diagram which demonstrates oddities inherent in the development of the astronomical science in the consensual chronological paradigm of Scaliger and Petavius	293
6.6. Corollaries	302
7. Copernicus, Tycho Brahe and Kepler. The relation between Johannes Kepler and the final version of the Copernican oeuvre	302
7.1. What we know about Copernicus and his astronomical endeavours. Was the heliocentric cosmological system indeed discovered in the first half of the XVI century and not any later?	302
7.2. Oddities in the Scaligerian story of how the book of Copernicus was published	306
7.3. Why it is believed that Tycho Brahe “did not accept the theory of Copernicus”. In reality, the system invented by Tycho Brahe is identical to the Copernican	309
7.4. Is it true that the book of Copernicus, first published in the alleged year 1543, has reached us in its initial shape and form?	313
7.5. Could Johannes Kepler be the editor or even co-author of the “canonical version” of the Copernican oeuvre known to us today?	315
7.6. The heliocentric cosmology and the Biblical “stopped sun”	318
8. Anna Comnena considers Ptolemy her contemporary. In other words, Ptolemy couldn’t have lived earlier than the XII century A.D.	319
9. Obvious dating of the Ptolemaic epoch on Ptolemy’s portrait in the old German “Global Chronicles” by Hartmann Schedel	320
10. The meaning of the word “Pelusiensis” (or “Pheludiensis”) in the full name of Ptolemy	321